

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSOONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,291	03/06/2001	Tarun Bandyopadhyay	064798.0002	4665
7590 10-22/2003 Paul D. Greeley OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682			EXAMINER . HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
			1731	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/800,291	BANDYOPADHYAY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John Hoffmann	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u>	ugust 2003 and 29 August 2003				
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15-19,21-23,26-34,36-40,42-44 and 46-48 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,14,20,24-25, 35, 41, 49 and 45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.□ Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U,S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftsp 3) Information Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 14, 35 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DiGiovanni 5058976.

See the previous Office action for the manner in which the art is applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6, 20, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Using claim 6 as an example: claim 6 originally referred back to step I). But now, steps i) and g) have been changed so that claim 6 refers back to step g) rather than step i). There doesn't appear to be any support for the claim 6 ratio being applied to step g). The burden is now on applicant to demonstrate there is support, or to amend the claims to remove the new matter.

Claims 20 and 41 are rejected for the same reason.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 24, 25, and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24: "rare earth ion" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 25 refers to "the pump beam" which lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 45 refers to "Er salt" which lack antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,20,24-25, 41 and 45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-19, 21-23, 26-34, 36-40, 42-44, and 46-48 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art does not disclose immersing the tube in a solution, followed by draining the solution. DiGiovanni 5123940 discloses draining the solution from the tube, but there is no immersing step - nor would it have been obvious to immerse it. Bartholomew 5151117, discloses immersing the preform, but no draining step, rather the tube is withdrawn from the solution (col. 4). Given figure 3 of Barholomew, at most only a minor portion of the solution drains from the preform. The draining is not limited to draining from the preform. Morita 6178780 discloses draining (substantially) all of the fluid from the container. Further Morita discloses "immersing" a porous body - however, when not

Art Unit: 1731

defined by applicant in the specification, the words of a claim must be given their plain meaning. In other words, they must be read as they would be interpreted by those of ordinary skill in the art. > Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp., 274 F.3d 1336, 1342, 60 USPQ2d 1851, 1854 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Morita does not use the plain meaning of immersing. Therefore the Applicants "immersing" can be interpreted using Morita's definition for immersing.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

However it is argued that the product claims (14, 35, and 49) do not read on Giovanni because the fibers are materially different. There is further indication that DiGiovanni does not disclose a porous glass layer (from evaporated silica, germania and Phosphorous pentoxide. Examiner could find no limitation which requires any porous layer in the final product. AS per the constituents - see DiGiovanni claim 5 and 1 - the second region has the compositional limitations that would be contained in a

Art Unit: 1731

product that could result from the process - as defined by the present product-byprocess claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is 703-308-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

John Hoffmann

Art Unit 1731

jmh